RECEIVED

2021 NOV 16 PM 4:44

IDAHO PUBLIC

UTILITIES COMMISSION

JAYME B. SULLIVAN BOISE CITY ATTORNEY

Ed Jewell ISB No. 10446 Deputy City Attorney BOISE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 150 N. Capitol Blvd. P.O. Box 500 Boise, ID 83701-0500

Telephone: (208) 608-7950 Facsimile: (208) 384-4454 Email: ejewell@cityofboise.org

boisecityattorney@cityofboise.org

Attorney for Intervenor

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S APPLICATION TO INITIATE A MULTI-PHASE COLLABORATIVE PROCESS FOR THE STUDY OF COSTS BENEFITS AND COMPENSATION OF NET EXCESS ENERGY ASSOCIATED WITH CUSTOMER ON-SITE GENERATION

Case No. IPC-E-21-21

CITY OF BOISE CITY'S FORMAL COMMENTS

The city of Boise City ("Boise City") submits these formal comments on the proposed scope of the study to be undertaken by Idaho Power ("Company") to comprehensively evaluate the costs and benefits of on-site generation. Boise City submits these initial formal comments pursuant to Rule 203 of the Commission's Rules of Procedure, IDAPA 31.01.01.203, and pursuant to the Notice of Scheduling, Order No. 35193, issued by the Commission on October 7, 2021.

1. Boise City has an interest in ensuring the study design will comprehensively evaluate the costs and benefits of customer-owned on-site generation, so its citizens have the opportunity to be fairly compensated for installing on-site generation and to prevent non-participants in the

CITY OF BOISE CITY'S FORMAL COMMENTS - 1

program from being unfairly and unreasonably burdened by the decisions of others. Only through a fair, just, and reasonable program design can both ends be attained. The study framework must represent a balanced approach and must reasonably account for all costs and benefits from on-site generation. The study must address the concerns of customers and answer their questions about on-site generation. The study must also provide the Commission with a basis to analyze future proposals to change the net-metering program.

- 2. Pursuant to the procedure established for this docket, Boise City and other parties to the case submitted proposed additions to the Company's Study Framework to Commission Staff, which Staff integrated into Commission Staff's Study Framework submitted to the Commission on September 30, 2021.
- 3. Boise City recommends the study consistently analyze each study component at various on-site generation penetration levels. Boise City believes it would be appropriate to analyze each study component at current penetration levels, at penetration levels 10x greater than current levels, and at penetration levels 25x greater than current levels. Similarly, Boise City believes the study should look at a 20-year planning horizon, which is consistent with Company's Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) and the lifespan of a distributed energy resource. Boise City believes doing so would provide insight about the current state of Idaho Power's net metering program and allow evaluation of potential future impacts.
- 4. Boise City believes the Study Framework must be structured to facilitate a comprehensive review of all risk mitigation benefits provided by customer-generated energy. Distributed energy resources are uniquely situated to cost-effectively promote system reliability and customer resiliency, particularly as the Company's service area and region experience increasing impacts of climate change. Boise City recommends the Study Framework incorporate CITY OF BOISE CITY'S FORMAL COMMENTS 2

the variabilities and system impacts identified in the 2021 IRP Climate Change scenario, with increased hydro-electric generation variability, high gas prices, and high load growth, across all identified avoided cost, benefit, and utility cost calculations.

- 5. Boise City recommends the Commission include the following areas in the Study Framework, which the Commission ordered Rocky Mountain Power to study in PAC-E-19-08 Order Nos. 34573 and 34798, that were not included in Idaho Power's proposed Study Framework:
 - a. Quantify the value of grid stability, resiliency, and cybersecurity protection provided by customer generators at each penetration level.
 - b. Quantify the value to local public health and safety from reduced local impacts of global warming such as extreme temperatures, reduced snowpack variation, reduced wildfire risk, reduced hydroelectric generation, degraded air quality, and other impacts that can have direct impacts on Idaho Power customers at each penetration level.
 - c. Quantify local economic benefits, including local job creation and increased economic activity in the immediate service territory at each penetration level.
 - i. Boise City recommends the Company incorporate the IMPLAN model analysis used in Maryland's 2018 Cost and Benefits of Solar study. The method used to calculate the Jobs and Local Economic Impact & Inflation is described at pages 171-180. DAYMARK ENERGY ADVISORS, BENEFITS AND COSTS OF UTILITY SCALE AND BEHIND THE METER SOLAR RESOURCES IN MARYLAND p. 171-180, 2018 available at https://www.psc.state.md.us/wp-content/uploads/MD-Costs-and-

<u>Benefits-of-Solar-Draft-for-stakeholder-review.pdf</u> (last visited November 10, 2021).

- d. Quantify the reduced risk from end-of-life disposal concerns for the Company compared to fossil-fueled resources at each penetration level.
- e. Explain how seasonal and time-of-delivery price differences will be used to help align customer-generated exported energy with the Company's system needs at each penetration level.
- f. Quantify and analyze the fuel price guarantee value provided by customergenerators at each penetration level.
- g. Quantify the avoided uncertainty in fuel price fluctuations from displaced resources across the planning period.
- 6. Boise City recommends the Commission direct the Company to study specific environmental benefits and avoided costs of energy exported to the grid by renewable on-site generation. In addition to the areas to be studied from PAC-E-19-08, Boise City requests the Commission include the following items:
 - a. Quantify the total avoided carbon emissions from renewable on-site customergenerators at each penetration level.
 - b. Calculate the avoided costs of compliance with carbon emissions regulation at each penetration level and incorporating the following carbon costs:
 - i. 2021 IRP Planning Case Carbon Cost.
 - ii. \$51 per metric ton of CO2 this is the 2020 social cost of carbon at 3% discount rate as determined by the Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases. Interagency Working Group on

SOCIAL COST OF GREENHOUSE GASES, UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, TECHNICAL SUPPORT DOCUMENT: SOCIAL COST OF CARBON, METHANE, AND NITROUS OXIDE INTERIM ESTIMATES UNDER EXECUTIVE ORDER 13990 p. 5, 2021 available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/TechnicalSupportDocument_SocialCostofCa rbonMethaneNitrousOxide.pdf (last visited November 10, 2021).

- iii. \$93 per metric ton of CO2- to achieve Net zero emissions by 2040 as identified in Kaufman, N., Barron, A.R., Krawczyk, W. et al. A nearterm to net zero alternative to the social cost of carbon for setting carbon prices. Kaufman, N., Barron, A.R., Krawczyk, W., A NEAR-TERM TO NET ZERO ALTERNATIVE TO THE SOCIAL COST OF CARBON FOR SETTING CARBON PRICES, NAT. CLIM. CHANG. p.10, 1010-1014 (2020) available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0880-3 (last visited November 10, 2021).
- c. Quantify the benefits from the following avoided environmental impacts of renewable on-site generation at each penetration level:

i. Methane:

 Quantify the avoided methane leakage from displaced resources, incorporating natural gas production, transmission rates and intra-plant leakage/loss throughout. The Gas Index 2020 "Where Leaks Occur" report identified 338 grams of methane per Mcf of natural gas leaked out of the production and transmission systems that deliver natural gas to Boise. The Gas Index, p.6,

- (2020) available at https://thegasindex.org/ (click on "Download Report" last visited November 10, 2021).
- 2. Utilizing the identified total methane leakage avoided from renewable on-site generation, quantify the avoided social cost of methane utilizing the February 2021 Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases reported at \$1500 in 2020 dollars per metric ton of methane. Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases, United States Government, Technical Support Document: Social Cost of Carbon, Methane, and Nitrous Oxide Interim Estimates under Executive Order 13990 p. 5, 2021 available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/TechnicalSupportDocument SocialCostofCarbonMethaneNitrousOxide.pdf (last visited November 10, 2021).
- ii. Water: Quantify the avoided acre feet and avoided cost of water from decreased water use required for electric generation due to renewable onsite generation at each penetration level. Reference the method used by Crossborder Energy and Arizona Public Service in the 2013 study for the Arizona Corporation Commission. Crossborder Energy, The Benefits and Costs of Solar Distributed Generation for Arizona Public Service p. 12-13, (Beach, R.T., McGuire, P.G. eds., 2013)

 available

 **available*

https://www.seia.org/sites/default/files/resources/AZ-Distributed-Generation.pdf (last visited November 10, 2021).

- iii. <u>Land</u>: Quantify the land use required per MW of utility scale solar and wind generation in an acre/MW calculation. Utilizing the 2021 IRP preferred portfolio, quantify the potential avoided acres and avoided land costs attributable to renewable on-site generation compared to utility scale solar deployment at each penetration level.
- d. Quantify and evaluate the following capacity values for renewable on-site generation:
 - i. Quantify and evaluate the capacity resource value for customergenerators with 4 hours of available on-site energy storage at each penetration level according to the method agreed to for calculating capacity payments in the IPC-E-18-15 settlement agreement and according to effective load carrying capacity (ELCC) value.
 - ii. Quantify and evaluate the customer-generator capacity resource value for solar PV customer-generators at each penetration level and according to the method agreed to for calculating capacity payments in the IPC-E-18-15 settlement agreement and according to ELCC value.
- 7. Using the method to calculate the avoided cost of energy agreed to in the IPC-E-18-15 settlement agreement, compare the avoided cost of energy rates a customer-generator would have received given a typical rooftop solar PV output profile in southern Idaho, with the cost of the Company's actual marginal resource for each hour of the year in 2018, 2019, and 2020.

- 8. Compare the stated cost-shift of the current value of customer-generated net-excess energy to:
 - a. The inter-class cost shift from the residential customer class to the irrigation customer class in 2021.
 - Forecasted gross revenue and fixed cost-recovery from residential customer load growth across planning period.
 - c. The difference between the projected costs of operating and maintaining Langley Gulch to the actual costs of operating and maintaining Langley Gulch.
- 9. Additionally, Boise City recommends the Commission-ordered Study Framework include the following items and overarching principles to promote transparency and credibility:
 - a. Direct the Company to make publicly available, as an appendix or attachment to the study, all data, assumptions, and inputs utilized in the resulting analysis of the comprehensive benefits and costs of excess energy generation.
 - b. Ensure the focus of the study and any cost-of-service methodologies or rate design within the approved Study Framework are only evaluating the costs associated with net-excess energy produced by customer generators and not the energy consumption of those customer generators.
- 10. Boise City believes that customer concerns, in addition to utility concerns, must be addressed for the study to be considered comprehensive and useful for informing future Commission decisions. The Study Framework must ensure that the resulting study can credibly answer key customer questions. Specifically, the Study Framework must enable the Company to clearly explain the basis for and impacts of any proposed compensation changes for current non-grandfathered customer-generators and future customer-generators. The Study Framework must CITY OF BOISE CITY'S FORMAL COMMENTS 8

also enable the Company to explain, particularly to customers without on-site generation, the fair

value of the net-excess energy produced by their neighbors.

11. Boise City believes that a robust renewable on-site generation program can be a

key resource in Idaho Power's portfolio. Boise City believes that the unique characteristics of the

resource help to mitigate Idaho Power's exposure to risks brought about by climate change such

as less predictable runoff and more extreme temperatures. Further, renewable on-site generation

allows customers greater control over their energy bills, creates impactful jobs in Idaho Power's

service territory, and can help protect against fuel price volatility. Boise City is interested in a

strong and sustainable net-metering program that fairly compensates program participants for all

the benefits they provide to Idaho Power's system now and into the future. Idaho Power's service

territory benefits from abundant natural sunlight, a growing population base, and a summer peak

that can be at least partially offset by renewable on-site generation. Boise City believes a strong

and fair net metering program would be a benefit to all Idaho Power customers.

DATED this 16th day of November 2021.

Ed Iswall

Deputy City Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have on this 16th day of November 2021, served the foregoing documents on all parties of counsel as follows:

Jan Noriyuki Commission Secretary Idaho Public Utilities Commission 472 West Washington Boise, ID 83702 jan.noriyuki@puc.idaho.gov	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Lisa Nordstrom Idaho Power Company PO Box 70 Boise, ID 83707 Inordstrom@idahopower.com dockets@idahopower.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Connie Aschenbrenner Idaho Power Company PO Box 70 Boise, ID 83707 caschenbrenner@idahopower.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Erick Shaner Deputy Attorney General Idaho Public Utilities Commission 11331 W. Chinden Blvd., Bldg No. 8, Suite 201-A (83714) PO Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0074 erick.shaner@puc.idaho.gov	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Peter J. Richardson Richardson, Adams, PLLC 515 N 27 th St. Boise, ID 83702 peter@richardsonadams.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Dr. Don Reading 6070 Hill Road Boise, ID 83703 dreading@mindspring.org	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:

Tom Arkoosh Arkoosh Law Offices 913 W. River St., Suite 450 P.O. Box 2900 Boise, ID 8370 tom.arkoosh@arkoosh.com erin.cecil@arkoosh.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Benjamin J. Otto Idaho Conservation League 710 N. 6 th St. Boise, ID 83702 botto@idahoconservation.org	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Kevin King Idaho Clean Energy Association PO Box 2264 Boise, ID 83702 staff@idahocleanenergy.org	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Michael Heckler Courtney White Clean Energy Opportunities for Idaho Inc. 3778 Plantation River Dr., Suite 102 Boise, ID 83703 mike@cleanenergyopportunities.com courtney@cleanenergyopportunities.com	
Kelsey Jae Law for Conscious Leadership 920 N. Clover Dr. Boise, ID 83703 kelsey@kelseyjae.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Joshua Hill Idaho Solar Owners Network 1625 S. Latah Boise, ID 83705 joshuashill@gmail.com tottens@amsidaho.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Jim Swier Micron Technologies 8000 South Federal Way Boise, ID 83707 jswier@micron.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:

Austin Rueschhoff Thorvald A. Nelson Austin W. Jensen Holland & Hart, LLP 555 17th Street Suite 3200 Denver, CO 80202 darueschhoff@hollandhart.com tnelson@hollandhart.com awjensen@hollandhart.com aclee@hollandhart.com glgarganoamari@hollandhart.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Kiki Leslie A. Tidwell, 704 N. River St. #1 Hailey, ID 83333 ktinsv@cox.net	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Eric L. Olsen Echo Hawk & Olsen PLLC 505 Pershing Ave., Suite 100 PO Box 6119 Pocatello, ID 83205 elo@echohawk.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Richard E. Kluckhohn, pro se Wesley A. Kluckhohn, pro se 2564 W. Parkstone Dr. Meridian, ID 83646 kluckhohn@gmail.com wkluckhohn@mac.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
Ryan Bushland ABC Power Company, LLC 184 W. Chrisfield Dr. Meridian, ID 83646 ryan.bushland@abcpower.com	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:
George Stanton Comet Energy, LLC 13601 W. McMillan Rd, Suite 102 PMB 166 Boise, ID 83713 George.stanton@cometenergy.biz	U.S. Mail Personal Delivery Facsimile Electronic Means w/ Consent Other:

Tyler Grange		U.S. Mail
Idahome Solar, LLC		Personal Delivery
2484 N. Stokesberry Pl. #100		Facsimile
Meridian, ID 83646	\checkmark	Electronic Means w/ Consent
tyler@idahomesolar.com		Other:

Michelle Steel
Paralegal, City of Boise